Honorable George A. O'Toole United States District Court District of Massachusetts John J. Moakley Courthouse One Courthouse Way Boston, MA 02210

August 3, 2004



RE: M. William Potts ve David L. Winn Civil Action No. 04-40068-GAO

Honorable Judge O'Toole:

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION TO PETITIONER'S OPPOSITION and MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MOTIONS OF RESPONDENT

Enclosed is a copy of the Final Denial of Appeal from the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) Central Office, which was received by me on August 3, 2004. (Also enclosed is the Petitioner's Central Office Administrative Remedy Appeal, BP-11, dated June 7, 2004)

The Petitioner had requested in the BP-11 that he "be eligible for transfer to a CCC on or about 12/11/04, 6 months prior to my Statutory Release date of 6/11/05, if I meet all of the conditions that have been required of an inmate prior to 12/20/02."

The response from the BOP Central Office is a denial of the Petitioner's request for Administrative Remedy, the <u>final</u> step in the Administrative Remedy Process. <u>All</u> appeals through the BOP Administrative Remedy Process have been <u>exhausted</u>, with <u>predictable denials</u> at each and every level.

The government in its Motion to Dismiss raised "failure to exhaust Administrative Remedies" defense. In Petitioner's Opposition Memorandum (pgs. 6-9) I raised the issue of futility..."To the best of the Petitioner's knowledge, the BOP has consistently denied every administrative-remedy request raising the identical issue by other inmates. In relation to this issue, the process is so futile that it is defacto exhausted before it's commencement." (Pg. 8)

The Petitioner utilized and exhausted the BOP Administrative Remedy Process even though he believes on this issue, consistent with overwhelming judicial authority, he is <u>not</u> required to do so.

This information is provided for your consideration and inclusion in this case.

Very Truly Yours,

M. William Potts Pr

M. William Potts, Pro Se #24866-038 Unit I Federal Medical Center, Devens PO Box 879 Ayer, MA 01432

Encl

cc: Christopher R. Donato
Assistant United States Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing document was served upon Christopher R. Donato, Assistant United States Attorney, by my depositing a copy thereof in the internal mailing system at Federal Medical Center, Devens, Unit I (Camp), postage prepaid, addressed to the Office of the United States Attorney, John Joseph Moakley Courthouse, One Courthouse Way, Suite 9200, Boston, MA 02210 on this $\dot{\gamma}$ th day of August 2004.

I swear under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing is true on this $\frac{1}{2}$ th day of August 2004.

M. William Potts, Pro Se #24866-038 Unit I Federal Medical Center, Devens PO Box 879 Ayer, MA 01432

Administrative Remedy No. 331631-A1 Part B - Response

This is in response to your Central Office Administrative Remedy in which you request that your case be reviewed for a Community Corrections Center (CCC) designation consistent with the pre-December 20, 2002 guidelines. Specifically, you request a sixmonth CCC placement.

Our review reveals the Warden and the Regional Director accurately addressed the issues raised. The process of designating inmates to a CCC for service of a portion of their sentence has changed. The U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Legal Counsel, issued an opinion on December 13, 2002, that 18 U.S.C. § 3624(c) limits the transfer of an inmate to a CCC to the last 10% of an inmate's prison term. Accordingly, your 10% date is April 18, 2005, and you may not be transferred to a CCC prior to that date. All cases considered for CCC placement must be reviewed in compliance with the legal opinion. Your Unit Team will review your case for CCC placement at the appropriate time. Your appeal is denied.

Su 26, 2004

Harrell Watts, Administrator National Inmate Appeals

Received 8/3/04 MM

Document 8

Filed 08/06/2004

Page 4 of 4

U.S. Department of Justice

Central Office Administrative Remedy Appeal

Federal Bureau of Prisons

Type or use vali-point pen. If attachments are ne ments that be submitted with this appeal.	eded, submit four c	opies. One copy each o	of the complet	ed BP-DIR-9 and	BP-DIR-10, including any attach-
From: Potts, M. Willia	em	24866-0		工	FMC-Devens
LAST NAME. FIRST, MIDDLE I	INITIAL	REG. NO.		UNIT	INSTITUTION
Part A-REASON FOR APPEAL I am appealing the Remedy Appeal in related that I will 12/11/04, 6 months of 10 all of the conditions to My request has been a by the Federal Bureau of programs Division dated interpretations of 18 USC & comment requirements of it the U.S. Constitution. I believe that the interpretation of Indicious of the Legisland	hon to my be eligible p to my Shot have be denied become prisons me placed action at 1 august	BR-9 which e for trans- thatutory Re een required use of the ch morandum from arding Commu- arding Commu- arding Commu- susc \$ 3624, d \$ 551, et sec these statutes officien excess	request fer to a lease d of an in the A nity Cont fea men in and hi by the B	ate of u/ frimate property and li- frimate pro- friending is friendly is neet the rules vicilated account fri	Administrative determination or about 11/05, if I meet 11/06, if I meet 11
the Judiciary and the beg	tice according	or and needs	cerin of	are for ot	- least society office
I believe, as per the political to 12/26/2. I would be a L/9/04	dishle for	transfer to a	ccc br	newths pro	temy Statutery
6/9/04 Release d	ate of 6/111	lo 5	M. Cm	Willey P.	th
DATE			7 - 12 600	SIGNATURE OF	
Part B—RESPONSE					
		in Hog			
		ş v			
DATE				OFFICE 1	201 (MEE)
ORIGINAL: RETURN TO INMATE			s.	GENERAL C	37.12.1
Part C-RECEIPT	-			CASE NUMBER	
		*		CASE NUMBER	R:
Return to:					****
LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDI SUBJECT:	DLE INITIAL	REG. N	Ю.	UNIT	INSTITUTION

TICD LYM